

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/691,962                        | 10/18/2000  | Bore Klemets         | AN05975/3151P1US        | 3234             |  |
| 7590 01/28/2005                   |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| Lainie E Parker<br>Akzo Nobel Inc |             |                      | FORTUNA                 | FORTUNA, JOSE A  |  |
| Intellectual Property Department  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 7 Livingtone Avenue               |             |                      | 1731                    |                  |  |
| Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-3408        |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| < |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summer:   | 09/691,962   | KLEMETS ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | José A. Fortuna  | 1731   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A   | oril 2004.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-48</u> is/are pending in the  | application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-48</u> is/are rejected.   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-48</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | г.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   | epted or b) objected to by the I   | Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal P<br>6) Other:  | ate<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| . Spot Holopman Date  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to Request for Continuing Examination, RCE. There are no new Remarks on the file and therefore, the office action mailed on June 28, 2004 still applies, (repeated below for applicants convenience).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-13, and 15-48 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a). This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action mailed on October 17, 2003.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on April 15, 2004, with the Remarks of March 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Nagarajan et al. teach away from the use of the aromatic containing polymers since they teach that the polymers having non-aromatic groups perform better than the polymers having aromatic groups. This is unconvincing; because that does not teach that the polymers containing aromatic groups would not work, just that the other is a preferred embodiment. Note that it has been held that obviousness may exist although teachings relied

Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

upon may be disclosed in the art as non-preferred or unsatisfactory for the intended purpose. *In re* Boe, 53 CCPA 1079; 355 F2d 961; 158 USPQ 507. *In re* Smith, 32 CCPA 959; 148 F2d 351; 65 USPQ 157. *In re* Nehrenberg, 47 CCPA 1159; 280 F2d 161; 126 USPQ 383. *In re* Watanabe, 50 CCPA 1175; 315 F2d 924; 137 USPQ 350. Note also that the same/similar polymers are disclosed by Takeda et al., US Patent No. 4,929,655, (attached), and they teach that those polymers are used in the papermaking operations as flocculants, drainage/retention agents, see column 1, lines 10-16.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

### Conclusion

4. This is a Request for Continuing Examination of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/691,962. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL

Art Unit: 1731

even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

José A Fortuna

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

**JAF**